

# TOWN OF SANDWICH

THE OLDEST TOWN ON CAPE COD

130 MAIN STREET  
SANDWICH, MA 02563

TEL: 508-888-4910 AND 508-888-5144  
FAX: 508-833-8045  
E-MAIL: [selectmen@townofsandwich.net](mailto:selectmen@townofsandwich.net)  
E-MAIL: [townhall@townofsandwich.net](mailto:townhall@townofsandwich.net)



BOARD OF  
SELECTMEN

---

TOWN  
MANAGER

## BOARD OF SELECTMEN AGENDA October 27, 2016 – 6:00 P.M. Sandwich Town Hall – 130 Main Street

1. Convene Open Session in Auditorium
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Review & Approval of Minutes – 10/20/16
4. Staff Meeting
  - Town Clerk Taylor White – Update on November 8 Election & Related Efforts
  - Town Treasurer/Collector Bill Jennings – Update on Bonding of Marina & Town Neck Beach Debt; Municipal Bond Rating; Approve & Sign Bonds
  - Town Manager – Review Draft Special Town Meeting Warrant; Finalize Date of STM & Sign Warrant
  - Other Matters Not Reasonably Anticipated by the Chairman
5. Adjournment to 7:00 P.M. Summit Workshop Meeting

**NEXT MEETING:** Thursday, November 10, 2016, 7:00 P.M., Town Hall

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

SANDWICH

OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT



57/57

Tuesday, November 8, 2016

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

- CLINTON and KAINE
JOHNSON and WELD
STEIN and BARAKA
TRUMP and PENCE

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

- WILLIAM RICHARD KEATING
MARK C. ALLIARD
CHRISTOPHER D. CATALDO
PAUL J. HARRINGTON
ANNA GRACE RADUC

COUNCILLOR

- JOSEPH C. FERREIRA

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT

- VINNY M. DEMACEDO

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

- RANDY HUBBARD

SHERIFF

- JAMES M. CUMMINGS
RANDY P. AZZATO

COUNTY COMMISSIONER

- MARY PAT FLYNN
RONALD R. BEATY, JR.
LINDA A. BOND
MARK R. FOREST

BARNSTABLE ASSEMBLY DELEGATE

- JAMES J. KILLION

REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

- MARY L. CROOK

REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

- MARYANN SMITH

REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

- ELIZABETH MAGAURAN

REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

- STEVEN L. CHALKE

REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

- ROBERT FICHTENMAYER

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow the state Gaming Commission to issue one additional category 2 license, which would permit operation of a gaming establishment with no table games and not more than 1,250 slot machines.

The proposed law would authorize the Commission to accept applications for the additional license to be granted to a gaming establishment located on property that is (i) at least four acres in size; (ii) adjacent to and within 1,500 feet of a race track, including the track's additional facilities, such as the track grounds, paddocks, barns, auditorium, amphitheatre, and bleachers; (iii) where a horse racing meeting may physically be held; (iv) where a horse racing meeting shall have been hosted; and (v) not separated from the race track by a highway or railway.

A YES VOTE would permit the state Gaming Commission to license one additional slot machine gaming establishment at a location that meets certain conditions specified in the law.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws regarding gaming.

YES NO

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them.

If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.

CONTINUE ON BACK

VOTE BOTH SIDES

New charter schools and enrollment expansions approved under this proposed law would be subject to the same approval standards as other charter schools, and to recruitment, retention, and multilingual outreach requirements that currently apply to some charter schools. Schools authorized under this law would be subject to annual performance reviews according to standards established by the Board.

The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2017.

**A YES VOTE** would allow for up to 12 approvals each year of either new charter schools or expanded enrollments in existing charter schools, but not to exceed 1% of the statewide public school enrollment.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in current laws relative to charter schools.

YES   
NO

**QUESTION 3  
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

**SUMMARY**

This proposed law would prohibit any farm owner or operator from knowingly confining any breeding pig, calf raised for veal, or egg-laying hen in a way that prevents the animal from lying down, standing up, fully extending its limbs, or turning around freely. The proposed law would also prohibit any business owner or operator in Massachusetts from selling whole eggs intended for human consumption or any uncooked cut of veal or pork if the business owner or operator knows or should know that the hen, breeding pig, or veal calf that produced these products was confined in a manner prohibited by the proposed law. The proposed law would exempt sales of food products that combine veal or pork with other products, including soups, sandwiches, pizzas, hotdogs, or similar processed or prepared food items.

The proposed law's confinement prohibitions would not apply during transportation; state and county fair exhibitions; 4-H programs; slaughter in compliance with applicable laws and regulations; medical research; veterinary exams, testing, treatment and operation if performed under the direct supervision of a licensed veterinarian; five days prior to a pregnant pig's expected date of giving birth; any day that pig is nursing piglets; and for temporary periods for animal husbandry purposes not to exceed six hours in any twenty-four hour period.

The proposed law would create a civil penalty of up to \$1,000 for each violation and would give the Attorney General the exclusive authority to enforce the law, and to issue regulations to implement it. As a defense to enforcement proceedings, the proposed law would allow a business owner or operator to rely in good faith upon a written certification or guarantee of compliance by a supplier.

The proposed law would be in addition to any other animal welfare laws and would not prohibit stricter local laws.

The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2022. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

**A YES VOTE** would prohibit any confinement of pigs, calves, and hens that prevents them from lying down, standing up, fully extending their limbs, or turning around freely.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in current laws relative to the keeping of farm animals.

YES   
NO

**QUESTION 4  
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2017?

**SUMMARY**

The proposed law would permit the possession, use, distribution, and cultivation of marijuana in limited amounts by persons age 21 and older and would remove criminal penalties for such activities. It would provide for the regulation of commerce in marijuana, marijuana accessories, and marijuana products and for the taxation of proceeds from sales of these items.

The proposed law would authorize persons at least 21 years old to possess up to one ounce of marijuana outside of their residences; possess up to ten ounces of marijuana inside their residences; grow up to six marijuana plants in their residences; give one ounce or less of marijuana to a person at least 21 years old without payment; possess, produce or transfer hemp; or make or transfer items related to marijuana use, storage, cultivation, or processing.

The measure would create a Cannabis Control Commission of three members appointed by the state Treasurer which would generally administer the law governing marijuana use and distribution, promulgate regulations, and be responsible for the licensing of marijuana commercial establishments.

The proposed law would also create a Cannabis Advisory Board of fifteen members appointed by the Governor. The Cannabis Control Commission would adopt regulations governing licensing qualifications; security; record keeping; health and safety standards; packaging and labeling; testing; advertising and displays; required inspections; and such other matters as the Commission considers appropriate. The records of the Commission would be public records.

The proposed law would authorize cities and towns to adopt reasonable restrictions on the time, place, and manner of operating marijuana businesses and to limit the number of marijuana establishments in their communities. A city or town could hold a local vote to determine whether to permit the selling of marijuana and marijuana products for consumption on the premises of commercial establishments.

The proceeds of retail sales of marijuana and marijuana products would be subject to the state sales tax and an additional excise tax of 3.75%. A city or town could impose a separate tax of up to 2% on revenue received from the additional state excise tax or from license application fees and civil penalties for violations of this law would be deposited in the Marijuana Regulation Fund and would be used subject to appropriation for administration of the proposed law. Marijuana-related activities authorized under this proposed law could not be a basis for adverse orders in child welfare cases absent clear and convincing evidence that such activities had created an unreasonable danger to the safety of a minor child.

The proposed law would amend existing law regarding medical marijuana treatment centers or the operation of motor vehicles while under the influence. It would permit property owners to prohibit the use, sale, or production of marijuana on their premises (with an exception that landlords cannot prohibit consumption of cannabis by means other than by smoking); and would permit employers to prohibit the consumption of marijuana by employees in the workplace. State and local governments could continue to restrict uses in public buildings or at or near schools. Supplying marijuana to persons under age 21 would be unlawful.

The proposed law would take effect on December 15, 2016.

**A YES VOTE** would allow persons 21 and older to possess, use, and transfer marijuana and products containing marijuana concentrate (including edible products) and to cultivate marijuana, all in limited amounts, and would provide for the regulation and taxation of commercial sale of marijuana and marijuana products.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in current laws relative to marijuana.

YES   
NO

**YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING**



## **EARLY VOTING NOTICE**

EARLY VOTING FOR THE NOVEMBER 8, 2016 STATE ELECTION  
WILL BE HELD AT:

**TOWN CLERK'S OFFICE  
TOWN HALL ANNEX  
145 MAIN STREET**

**MONDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2016  
THROUGH  
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2016**

### **HOURS:**

**October 24: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
October 25: 8:30AM – 8:00PM  
October 26: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
October 27: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
October 28: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
October 29: 9:00AM – 1:00PM  
October 31: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
November 1: 8:30AM – 8:00PM  
November 2: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
November 3: 8:30AM – 4:30PM  
November 4: 8:30AM – 4:30PM**

**Mail-In Early Voting Ballot Applications for the State Election are available until  
12 Noon on Friday, November 4, 2016. In-office voting is available until 4:30PM Friday,  
November 4, 2016**

**Taylor D. White  
Town Clerk  
Board of Registrars**

October 24, 2016

**For Immediate Release**

**For further information contact:**

William Jennings  
Treasurer  
130 Main Street  
Sandwich, MA 02563

**Sandwich, MA \$3,850,000 General Obligation Bonds Net 1.98%**

William Jennings, Town Treasurer, received competitive bids from bond underwriters on Thursday, October 20, 2016, for a \$3,850,000 20-year general obligation bond issue. FTN Financial Capital Markets was the winning bidder on the Bonds with an average interest rate of 1.98%. The Town received a total of 5 bids on the Bonds. Bond proceeds will be used to finance the Marina Building, and Town Neck Beach Renourishment.

Prior to the sale, S&P Global Ratings, a municipal bond credit rating agency, assigned a rating of 'AA+' to the Bonds. The rating agency cited the Town's strong economy, strong management with good financial policies and practices, strong budgetary performance, very strong liquidity, very strong debt and contingent liability position and strong institutional framework as positive credit factors.

The bids for the Bonds were accepted at the offices of the Town's Financial Advisor, FirstSouthwest, a Division of Hilltop Securities Inc., at 54 Canal Street in Boston, Massachusetts.

-end-

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# Sandwich Town, Massachusetts; General Obligation

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Steven E Waldeck, Boston (1) 617-530-8128; [steven.waldeck@spglobal.com](mailto:steven.waldeck@spglobal.com)

### Secondary Contact:

Victor M Medeiros, Boston (1) 617-530-8305; [victor.medeiros@spglobal.com](mailto:victor.medeiros@spglobal.com)

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

## Summary:

# Sandwich Town, Massachusetts; General Obligation

### Credit Profile

US\$3.85 mil GO mun purp loan bnds ser 2016 due 11/01/2036

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	New
Sandwich Twn GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Sandwich Twn GO rfdg bnds (Bank Qual)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

## Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' rating and stable outlook to Sandwich Town, Mass.' series 2016 municipal-purpose loan general obligation (GO) bonds and affirmed its 'AA+' rating, with a stable outlook, on the town's existing GO debt.

The town's full-faith-and-credit pledge secures the bonds, subject to Proposition 2 1/2 limitations. Despite limitations imposed by the commonwealth levy limit law, we did not make a rating distinction for the limited-tax GO pledge due to the town's flexibility under the levy limit and the current GO rating on the town. Officials intend to use series 2016 bond proceeds to retire the town's bond anticipation notes.

The rating reflects our opinion of the following factors for the town, including its:

- Strong economy, with projected per capita effective buying income at 122% of the national level and market value per capita of \$185,860;
- Strong management, with good financial policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Strong budgetary performance, with a slight operating deficit in the general fund but break-even operating results at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2015;
- Adequate budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2015 of 6.8% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 12% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2.8x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Very strong debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 4.3% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 30.7% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as low overall net debt at less than 3% of market value and rapid amortization, with 82.4% of debt scheduled to be retired within 10 years; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

### Strong economy

We consider Sandwich's economy strong. The town, with an estimated population of 20,570, is located in Barnstable County. The town has a projected per capita effective buying income of 122% of the national level and per capita

market value of \$185,860. Overall, the town's market value grew by 3.8% over the past year to \$3.8 billion in 2016. The county unemployment rate was 6.3% in 2015.

Sandwich is approximately 60 miles south of Boston, where it occupies 43.04 square miles. Cape Cod Bay borders the town on the north, and Barnstable is to the east. The town is primarily a residential community with an extremely strong and diverse property tax base. Assessed value (AV) has grown during the previous two fiscal years by 5.3% after a long period of declines. The tax base is very diverse with the 10 leading taxpayers accounting for 6.7% of AV. Residential properties account for about 88% of AV and commercial and industrial properties account for a modest 7%.

Based on our regional forecasts, we expect the regional economy to remain stable. Recent data indicate that the region's median home prices will continue to improve and that housing starts will remain positive. This is important because Sandwich and other local governments in the region largely look to property taxes to meet rising expenditures.

### **Strong management**

We view the town's management as strong, with good financial policies and practices under our FMA methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

Highlights include management's good focus on financial and capital planning, evidenced by its five-year capital-needs budget, which it updates annually. Management identifies funding sources for each project and submits the plan as part of the town manager's report to the town council. Sandwich uses some forecasting techniques to identify future revenue and expenditures, which it uses to compliment the capital budget. Management performs budget assumptions conservatively and monitors budget-to-actual performance monthly. The town's formal investment policies mirror state guidelines, and the town treasurer monitors holdings monthly.

We note that the town lacks formal debt management policies and that it does not maintain formal reserve and liquidity policies. It maintains a minimum target for stabilization reserves, which it will look to increase to \$2.5 million over the next five fiscal years and maintain it there.

### **Strong budgetary performance**

Sandwich's budgetary performance is strong in our opinion. The town had slight deficit operating results in the general fund of 0.6% of expenditures, but a balanced result across all governmental funds of negative 0.1% of expenditures in fiscal 2015.

For fiscal 2016, management is projecting the general fund will close with a \$1 million surplus. Management saw positive variances in total revenue with motor vehicle excise taxes and building permits outperforming the budget. Officials balanced the fiscal 2017 operating budget at \$73.1 million, a 6.3% increase over fiscal 2016 due largely to increased debt service payments.

Property taxes generate 74% of general fund revenue and intergovernmental aid accounts for 19%. Tax collections have traditionally been consistent and, what we view as, strong. In addition, we believe the state aid environment is stable. Currently, we expect operating performance to remain strong and in-line with performance during past fiscal

years.

### **Adequate budgetary flexibility**

Sandwich's budgetary flexibility is adequate, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2015 of 6.8% of operating expenditures, or \$5.2 million.

We note available reserves have remained stable at these levels for several fiscal years. Management is projecting fiscal 2016 will close with an increase to available reserves, but we believe that the increase will likely be modest and that available reserves will likely remain less than 8% of expenditures. We would expect the town to maintain reserves at these levels over the next several fiscal years as expenditure demands increase and it manages the long-range capital budget.

### **Very strong liquidity**

In our opinion, Sandwich's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 12% of total governmental fund expenditures and 2.8x governmental debt service in 2015. In our view, the town has strong access to external liquidity if necessary.

Our opinion is that liquidity will likely remain very strong since there is no significant deterioration of cash balances planned or expected. Furthermore, we note the town does not have any contingent liquidity risk from financial instruments with payment provisions that change upon the occurrence of certain events. In addition, Sandwich is not aggressive in its use of investments.

### **Very strong debt and contingent liability profile**

In our view, Sandwich's debt and contingent liability profile is very strong. Total governmental fund debt service is 4.3% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 30.7% of total governmental fund revenue. Overall net debt is low at 0.7% of market value, and approximately 82.4% of the direct debt is scheduled to be repaid within 10 years, which are, in our view, positive credit factors.

Following this issue, Sandwich will have roughly \$26.7 million of total direct debt outstanding. For now, we expect the town's debt service carrying charge will decrease over the next few fiscal years due to its rapid amortization. We acknowledge the town has identified several long-range capital needs related to road improvements, schools, and a potentially new public-safety building. The board of selectmen has not made any affirmative decisions that we believe would currently deteriorate debt measures.

Sandwich's combined required pension and actual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) contributions totaled 6.1% of total governmental fund expenditures in fiscal 2015. Of that amount, 3.4% represented required contributions to pension obligations, and 2.7% represented OPEB payments. The town made its full annual required pension contribution in fiscal 2015.

Sandwich participates in the Barnstable County Retirement System. Pension costs are currently manageable. Using updated reporting standards in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement nos. 67 and 68, the town's proportionate share of the net pension liability was about \$32.3 million with 60% funded as of fiscal 2015. Due to the low funded ratio, we believe this will likely remain a growing cost over the next few fiscal years.

The town's long-term OPEB liabilities are an additional long-term credit consideration. As of June 30, 2014, the OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$74.4 million with an assumed rate of return of 4.5%, down from \$103.5 million in fiscal 2010. The town established an OPEB trust fund with a balance of \$209,000 in fiscal 2016.

### **Strong institutional framework**

The institutional framework score for Massachusetts municipalities is strong.

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' opinion of Sandwich's very strong liquidity and low debt, coupled with strong management practices. We believe Sandwich's strong economy and reliance on property taxes provide additional stability, which translates to stable budgetary performance. Therefore, we do not expect to change the rating within the two-year outlook period.

### **Upside scenario**

Over time, we believe we could raise the rating if available reserves were to continue to grow, resulting in budgetary flexibility improving to levels we consider in-line with the town's higher-rated peers, coupled with the town committing to maintaining reserves at these levels.

### **Downside scenario**

While unlikely to occur due to the improving tax base, any sustained fund balance deterioration or budgetary performance could lead us to lower the rating.

## **Related Research**

- U.S. State And Local Government Credit Conditions Forecast, July 27, 2016
- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Incorporating GASB 67 And 68: Evaluating Pension/OPEB Obligations Under Standard & Poor's U.S. Local Government GO Criteria, Sept. 2, 2015

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2016 by S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.

Town of Sandwich  
Special Town Meeting

# WARRANT

Monday, November \_\_\_\_\_, 2016  
7:00 p.m. – Sandwich High School



**BOARD OF SELECTMEN**

Susan James, Chair  
R. Patrick Ellis, Vice-Chair  
Peter Beauchemin  
Frank Pannorfi  
David J. Sampson

**MODERATOR**

Garry N. Blank

**FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Robert Guerin, Chair  
Mark Snyder, Vice-Chair  
Matthew Anderson  
Gwenn Dyson  
Charles Holden  
James Lehane  
Richard Reilly  
Laura Wing  
Thomas Witham

**November \_\_\_\_\_, 2016 – Special Town Meeting  
Index of Warrant Articles**

1. Capital Appropriation for Sandwich High School HVAC System (p. \_\_\_\_)
2. Ambulance Fund Transfer – Equipping New Fire/EMS Personnel (p. \_\_\_\_)
3. Sandwich Hollows Enterprise Fund Transfer – Capital Improvements (p. \_\_\_\_)
4. CPA Project: Clark-Haddad Memorial Building Restoration (p. \_\_\_\_)
5. Establish Enterprise Fund for Sandwich Marina Effective July 1, 2017 (p. \_\_\_\_)
6. Approve NRG Payment In Lieu Of Tax Agreement (p. \_\_\_\_)

**Reference Material & Volunteer Application Form:**

1. A Glossary of Commonly Used Terms (p. \_\_\_\_)
2. Table of Basic Points of Motion (p. \_\_\_\_)
3. Town of Sandwich Talent Bank Application (p. \_\_\_\_)

**NOTE:** Petition articles have been printed as submitted and may contain typographic and other errors.

**TOWN OF SANDWICH  
2016 SPECIAL TOWN MEETING  
November \_\_\_\_\_, 2016**

**Warrant**

Barnstable, ss.

To the Constables of the Town of Sandwich, in the County of Barnstable,

**GREETINGS:**

In the name of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts you are hereby directed to notify and warn the inhabitants of the Town of Sandwich qualified to vote in elections and Town affairs to meet at the Sandwich High School, 365 Quaker Meetinghouse Road, in said Sandwich on

**Monday, November \_\_\_\_\_, 2016, at 7:00 p.m.,**

then and there to act on the following articles.

**ARTICLE 1**

To see if the Town will vote raise and appropriate or transfer from available funds a sum of money, to be expended under the direction of the School Committee, for the purpose of constructing improvements and remodeling, constructing, reconstructing and making extraordinary repairs to the Sandwich High School HVAC system located at 365 Quaker Meetinghouse Road, including design, site improvements, equipment, furnishings and costs incidental thereto, or take any action relative thereto.

**Recommended by the Board of Selectmen.**

**ARTICLE 2**

To see if the Town will vote to transfer and appropriate the sum of \$100,000.00, or any other amount, from the Ambulance Fund, to be expended under the direction of the Board of Selectmen, for the purpose of purchasing equipment, communication equipment, and related supplies for new Fire / EMS personnel in the Fire Department, or take any action relative thereto.

**Recommended by the Board of Selectmen.**

**ARTICLE 3**

To see if the Town will vote in accordance with the provisions of M.G.L. c.44, §53F½ to raise and appropriate or transfer from available funds a sum of \$90,000.00, or any other

amount, from the Golf Enterprise Fund to be expended under the direction of the Board of Selectmen, for the purpose of completing extraordinary repairs and improvements to the Sandwich Hollows Golf Club clubhouse and golf course and for replacing maintenance and capital equipment for Sandwich Hollows Golf Club operations, or take any action relative thereto.

**Recommended by the Board of Selectmen.**

**ARTICLE 4**

To see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate or transfer from available funds under the Community Preservation Act historic resources program the sum of \$1,157,252.00, or any other amount, to be expended under the direction of the Board of Selectmen, for the purpose of preserving and making recommended repairs, renovations, and improvements to the Clark-Haddad Memorial Building and surrounding property, or take any other action relative thereto.

**Recommended by the Board of Selectmen.**

**ARTICLE 5**

To see if the Town will vote to accept the provisions of M.G.L. c.44, §53F½ to establish an enterprise fund for the delivery of operations and services at the Sandwich East Boat Basin Marina effective Fiscal Year 2018, or take any action relative thereto.

**Recommended by the Board of Selectmen.**

**ARTICLE 6**

To see if the Town will vote in accordance with the regulations issued by the Department of Revenue in the Informational Guideline Release No. 98-403 to accept the terms and conditions of the twenty one year payment in lieu of tax agreement which is expected to be effective from Fiscal Year 2020 through Fiscal Year 2040 between the Town of Sandwich and NRG Canal 3 Development LLC dated October 19, 2016 and entitled "Payment In Lieu Of Tax Agreement", a copy of which is on file with the Town Clerk's Office, or take any action relative thereto.

**Recommended by the Board of Selectmen.**

And you are hereby directed to serve this Warrant by posting attested copies thereof, one at the Town Hall, and one at each of the Post Offices in Sandwich, the last posting to be at least fourteen days prior to the time of holding said meeting,

given under our hands this 27th Day of October, 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Susan James, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Patrick Ellis, Vice-Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Beauchemin

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Pannorfi

\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Sampson

**SELECTMEN OF SANDWICH**

I hereby certify that I have posted attested copies of this warrant at Sandwich Town Hall, Town Hall Annex, Sandwich Post Office, East Sandwich Post Office and Forestdale Post Office, all located within the Town of Sandwich, on

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Constable

# November 2016

November 2016

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

December 2016

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	Oct 30	31	Nov 1	2	3	4	5
Oct 30 - Nov 5							
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Nov 6 - 12							
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Nov 13 - 19							
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
Nov 20 - 26							
	27	28	29	30	Dec 1	2	3
Nov 27 - Dec 3							